IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 50 OF 2007

SHRI. VIJAY SACHDEVA ... Petitioner
Versus
GOA COSTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY THROUGH MEMBER SECRETARY AND DIRECTOR EX-OFFICIO JOINT SECRETAR ... Respondent

Mr. Valmiki Menezes, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. M. Salkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the Respondent.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE, J.

Date:- 6th July, 2007

P.C.:

This petition can be disposed of at the stage of admission. The order impugned is an order passed by Respondent no.1 i.e. Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority. It appears that when the hearing was given grievance was made by the Petitioner that certain documents like Panchanama and sketch were not furnished to him. The grievance was met and the documents were furnished and an additional reply came to be filed. However, after the furnishing of the documents, rehearing is not being given.

2. Advocate Mr. Salkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.1 fairly states that impugned order dated 28/12/2006 will be withdrawn with liberty to pass fresh order after personal hearing is given. The impugned order stands withdrawn accordingly, with liberty to Respondent No.1 to pass further order after the Petitioner is personally heard. It is recorded that now the Petitioner has no grievance left relating to the supply of documents. Needless to say that the Petitioner will be entitled to place on record any documents from his side, if he so desires.

Petition disposed of.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

NH